ORIGINAL



19

GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC P.O. Box 1388 Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388 (928) 226-8333



2001 MAY -4 A II: 40

John G. Gliege (#003644) Stephanie J. Gliege (#022465) Attornevs for the Complainants

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B.
PUGEL, husband and wife as trustees of THE
RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B. PUGEL
FAMILY TRUST,
and
ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY RANDALL,
husband and wife
Complainants,

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06-0407

MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent..

ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP. Complainants,

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent.

DOCKET NO.W-03512A-06 -0613

MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and wife and as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY TRUST,

Complainants,

PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona Corporation

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-07-0100

MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE

DOCKELED BY NU

700S ₽ - YAM

Arizona Corporation Commission

28 29

COMES NOW, Brent Weekes docket no. W-03512A-07-0019, by and through his attorney undersigned and respectfully moves the Court to consolidate the four above captioned cases, pursuant to Rule 42(a) of Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, on the grounds and for the reasons that these cases contain common issues of fact and questions of law and in the interest of judicial economy should be resolved as one case. Even more because the consolidation of the cases will have a determinative impact on all of the Complainants as the matters are highly related. This Motion is supported by the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities. GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC

ohn G. Gliege

- 1	
1	Original and 19 copies mailed/delivered
2	This day of, 2007 to:
3	Arizona Corporation Commission Attn: Docket Control
1	
4	1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
5	
6	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered This day of, 2007 to:
7	Kevin O. Torrey Attorney, Legal Division
8	Arizona Corporation Commission
1	1200 W. Washington Street
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007
.	ktorrey@azcc.gov
10	The state of the s
.	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
11	
**	Legal Division
12	Arizona Corporation Commission
12	1200 W. Washington Street
13	Phoenix, AZ 85007
14	Ernest G. Johnson, Director
	Utilities Division
15	Arizona Corporation Commission
	1200 W. Washington Street
16	Phoenix, AZ 85007
1	Y1 Ch
17	Jay L. Shapiro
	Fennemore Craig
18	3003 North Central Ave. Ste 2600
	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913
19	JSHAPIRO@fclaw.com
20	David W. Davis, ESQ.
	Turley, Swan & Childers, P.C.
21	3101 N. Central, Suite 1300
l	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2643
22	ddavis@tsc-law.com
Ì	ddavisi@isc-iaw.com
23	Dahart M. Cassana
	Robert M. Cassaro
24	PO Box 1522
· •	Pine, AZ 85544
25	
	William F. Haney
26	3018 E. Mallory St.
20	Mesa, AZ 85213
	112000, 112 02212
27	Douboro Hall
	Barbara Hall
28	PO Box 2198
}	Pine, AZ 85544

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The four cases before the Court arise out of the same initial fact situation. ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT CORP., RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL, husband and wife as trustees of THE RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B. PUGEL FAMILY TRUST, and ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY RANDALL, husband and wife, and JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and wife and as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY TRUST have filed Application(s) For Deletion Of Territory From Certificate Of Convenience And Necessity Of Pine Water Company. The complainant BRENT WEEKES has filed an Application For Deletion Of Territory From Certificate Of Convenience And Necessity Of Pine Water Company. All of these cases are under consideration before the Arizona Corporation Commission. As of the date hereof, the Arizona Corporation Commission has taken no action on the case. Therefore these actions are still pending. Furthermore, complainant, BRENT WEEKES, is willing and able to proceed with the procedural schedule already set by this Commission.

BRENT WEEKES contends that issues in these four cases are related and are before this same Court, and that it is duplicative of effort to have two separate trials in these cases.

Therefore, BRENT WEEKES respectfully requests, that on the grounds of common issues of fact and questions of law, the similar parties involved, and in the interests of judicial economy, the Court consolidate the above captioned cases in this matter.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this __

day of ______, 2007 LAW OFFICE OF JOHN G. GLIEGE

John G. Gliege

1 2